arXiv:math/0010006v1 [math.AG] 2 Oct 2000

On the Cohomology of Theta Divisors of Hyperelliptic Jacobians

Atsushi Nakayashiki[*]

Abstract

We prove that k-th singular cohomology group of the complement of the theta divisor in a hyperelliptic Jacobian is isomorphic to the k-th fundamental representation of the symplectic group $Sp(2g,\mathbf{C})$. This is one of the conjectures in the paper [11].

1 Introduction

In our previous paper [11] the integrable system associated with the family of hyperelliptic curves is studied. The space of functions on the phase space modulo the action of commuting integrals of motion is interpreted as some cohomology group. Making extensive use of the character method several conjectures are proposed on the structure of certain cohomology groups. Among them is the following conjecture on the dimension of the singular cohomology groups of the complement of the theta divisor in a hyperelliptic Jacobian variety:

$$\dim\, H^k(J(X)-\Theta,\mathbf{C}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k-2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \le g,$$

where g is the genus of the hyperelliptic curve X. In this note we shall prove this formula. More precisely the singular cohomology group $H^k(J(X)-\Theta,\mathbf{C})$ is shown to be isomorphic to the k-th fundamental representation of the symplectic group $Sp(2g,\mathbf{C})$ (see Corollary 6) which is also conjectured in [11].

In section 2 we determine the topological Euler characteristic of the theta divisor of a hyperelliptic Jacobian. Then we study the singular cohomology groups of the theta divisor and the complement of the theta divisor in section 3. We use the result of Bressler and Brylinski [2] which says that the singular cohomology groups and the intersection cohomology groups of the theta divisor of a hyperelliptic Jacobian are isomorphic. For the intersection cohomology groups Poincaré duality holds. Since lower cohomology gropus of the theta divisor are

---

[*] Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Ropponmatsu 4-2-1, Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan, e-mail: atsushi@rc.kyushu-u.ac.jp

known from the Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections, other cohomology groups except that of the middle dimension are calculated using the Poincaré duality. Finally the cohomology group of half degree is determined using the Euler characteristic calculated in section 2. The calculation of cohomology groups of the complement of the theta divisor needs a bit more arguments.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank K. Cho for stimulating discussions and the interest on this work. A part of this work was done while the author stays at CRM in Université de Montreal for concentration period on quantum algebras and integrability. I would like to thank to this instiution for financial support and kind hospitality.

2 Topological Euler Characteristic of Theta Divisor

In this section we shall prove

Theorem 1 *Let X be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 1$, $J(X)$ the Jacobian variety of X and Θ the theta divisor. Then, the topological Euler characteristic of Θ is given by*

$$\chi(\Theta) = (-1)^{g-1}\Big(\begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ g \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ g-1 \end{pmatrix} \Big). \tag{1}$$

We can assume that X is determined by an equation $y^2 = f(x)$ with $f(x)$ being a monic polynomial of degree $2g+1$ without multiple zeros. The curve X has one point at infinity which we denote by ∞. The hyperelliptic involution σ is defined by $\sigma(x, y) = (x, -y)$ and $\sigma(\infty) = \infty$. Let S^nX be the n-th symmetric products of X and $\pi_n : X^n \longrightarrow S^nX$ the projection map. For the sake of convenience we set $S^0X = \{\infty\}$ and $S^nX = \emptyset$ for $n < 0$. We use the bracket symbol to denote a point of S^nX: $\pi_n(p_1, \cdots, p_n) = [p_1, \cdots, p_n]$.

The Jacobian variety $J(X)$ can be considered as the group of linear equivalence classes of divisors of degree zero. Let φ_n be the Abel-Jacobi map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n \quad : \quad & S^nX \longrightarrow J(X) \\ & [p_1, \cdots, p_n] \mapsto p_1 + \cdots + p_n - n\infty \end{aligned}$$

and W_n the image of φ_n, $W_n = \varphi_n(S^nX)$ for $n \geq 1$. We set $W_0 = \varphi_0(\infty) = \{0\}$ and $W_n = \emptyset$ for $n < 0$. By Riemann's theorem $\Theta = W_{g-1} + \kappa$, where κ is Riemann's constant with respect to the choice of the base point ∞.

For a hyperelliptic curve the structure of W_k is well understood. To see it we recall some notations on special divisors from [1]. Define

$$C_d^r = \{D \in S^dX \mid \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D)) \geq r+1\}, \quad W_d^r = \varphi_d(C_d^r).$$

In particular $C_d^0 = S^d X$, $W_d^0 = W_d$.

A linear system $\mathcal{D} = \mathbf{P}V$, $V \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$, is called g_d^r if $\deg D = d$ and $\dim V = r+1$. Here $\mathbf{P}V$ means the projectivization of the vector space V.

We refer the following fact about g_d^r on a hyperelliptic curve ([1], p13).

Theorem 2 *Any complete g_d^r on X is of the form*

$$r g_2^1 + p_1 + \cdots + p_{d-2r},$$

where $p_1, \cdots, p_{d-2r} \in X$ and $p_i \neq \sigma(p_j)$ for any $i \neq j$.

The only g_2^1 on X is the complete linear system

$$|2\infty| = \{p + \sigma(p) \,|\, p \in X\}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2,

$$C_d^r = \{[p_1, \sigma(p_1), \cdots, p_r, \sigma(p_r), p_{r+1}, \cdots, p_{d-r}] | p_1, \cdots, p_{d-r} \in X\}.$$

This means, in particular, that $W_d^r = W_{d-2r}$ and $C_d^r = \varphi_d^{-1}(W_d^r)$. Thus W_{d-2} is exactly the singular locus of W_d by Riemann-Kempf's singularity theorem ([10], p56). Notice that $C_d^r = \emptyset$ for $d - 2r < 0$.

We have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^k X \arrow[r, "\varphi_k"] & W_k \\
C_k^1 \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"] \arrow[r] & W_{k-2}. \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Theorem 2 and Riemann-Kempf's singularity theorem, the isomorphism

$$S^k X - C_k^1 \simeq W_k - W_{k-2}$$

holds. Since $S^k X$, C_k^1, W_k, W_{k-2} are all algebraic varieties, we have (*cf.*[3], p95)

$$\chi(S^k X) - \chi(C_k^1) = \chi(W_k) - \chi(W_{k-2}). \tag{2}$$

We set $\chi(\emptyset) = 0$. Then this equation is valid for all $k \geq 0$. We sum up (2) over k and get

$$\chi(\Theta) = \chi(W_{g-1}) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \chi(S^{g-1-2k} X) - \sum_{k \geq 0} \chi(C_{g-1-2k}^1). \tag{3}$$

Proposition 1

$$\chi(C_n^1) = 2\chi(S^{n-2}X) - \chi(S^{n-4}X). \tag{4}$$

Proof. Notice that

$$C_2^1 = \{[p, \sigma(p)] | p \in X\} \simeq X/\{1, \sigma\} \simeq \mathbf{P}^1.$$

We identify $\mathbf{P}^1$ with C_2^1 by this isomorphism. We define the map $\tau_k^{(n)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_k^{(n)} : S^k\mathbf{P}^1 \times S^{n-2k}X \longrightarrow C_n^k, \\
&\tau_k^{(n)}\big([[p_1, \sigma(p_1)], \cdots, [p_k, \sigma(p_k)]], [p_{k+1}, \cdots, p_{n-k}]\big) = \\
&[p_1, \sigma(p_1), \cdots, p_k, \sigma(p_k), p_{k+1}, \cdots, p_{n-k}].
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\tau_k^{(n)})^{-1}(C_n^{k+1}) = S^k\mathbf{P}^1 \times C_{n-2k}^1,$$

and

$$(S^k\mathbf{P}^1 \times S^{n-2k}X) - (\tau_k^{(n)})^{-1}(C_n^{k+1}) \simeq C_n^k - C_n^{k+1},$$

by Theorem 2. Thus

$$\chi(S^k\mathbf{P}^1)\big(\chi(S^{n-2k}X) - \chi(C_{n-2k}^1)\big) = \chi(C_n^k) - \chi(C_n^{k+1}). \tag{5}$$

Notice that $\chi(S^k\mathbf{P}^1) = k + 1$. Summing up (5) over k we have

$$\chi(C_n^1) = \sum_{k \geq 1}(k+1)\big(\chi(S^{n-2k}X) - \chi(C_{n-2k}^1)\big).$$

The proposition can be easily proved by the induction on n using this equation. □

It follows from (3), (4) that

$$\chi(\Theta) = \chi(S^{g-1}X) - \chi(S^{g-3}X).$$

The Euler characteristic of the symmetric products of curves of $g \geq 1$ is known ([9]):

$$\chi(S^nX) = (-1)^n \begin{pmatrix} 2g-2 \\ n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The claim of the theorem follows from this. □

Corollary 1 *Suppose that X is hyperelliptic and $d \leq g-1$. Then*

$$\chi(C_d^r) = (-1)^d \Big((r+1) \left(\begin{array}{c} 2g-2 \\ d-2r \end{array} \right) - r \left(\begin{array}{c} 2g-2 \\ d-2r-2 \end{array} \right) \Big).$$

Proof. From (5)

$$\chi(C_d^r) = \sum_{k \geq r} (k+1) \big(\chi(S^{d-2k}X) - \chi(C_{d-2k}^1) \big). \tag{7}$$

Substituting (4) to (7) we get

$$\chi(C_d^r) = (r+1)\chi(S^{d-2r}X) - r\chi(S^{d-2r-2}X).$$

Then the corollary follows from (6). □

Corollary 2 *Suppose that X is hyperelliptic and $d \leq g-1$. Then*

$$\chi(W_d) = (-1)^d \Big(\left(\begin{array}{c} 2g-2 \\ d \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} 2g-2 \\ d-2 \end{array} \right) \Big).$$

The proof of Corollary 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1 and we leave it to the reader.

3 Cohomologies of the theta divisor and the complement of the theta divisor

In this section we assume that (J, Θ) is any principally polarized abelian variety such that Θ is irreducible unless otherwise stated. By the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality

$$H_k(J, \Theta, \mathbf{C}) \simeq H^{2g-k}(J - \Theta, \mathbf{C}).$$

Since $J - \Theta$ is an affine algebraic variety,

$$H^k(J - \Theta, \mathbf{C}) = 0, \quad k > g.$$

Then from the long exact sequence of homologies for the triple $\Theta \subset J \subset (J, \Theta)$ we have

$$H_k(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \simeq H_k(J, \mathbf{C}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq g-2, \tag{8}$$

and the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \longrightarrow H^1(J, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^1(J - \Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H_{2g-2}(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^2(J, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \\ \cdots \longrightarrow H_{2g-k}(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^k(J, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^k(J - \Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \cdots. \end{array} \tag{9}$$

Let us study the kernel of the restriction map

$$\iota_k : H^k(J, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^k(J - \Theta, \mathbf{C}),$$

for $1 \le k \le g$. To this end we shall use the analytic description of $H^k(J, \mathbf{C})$.

Consider the exact sequence of sheaves:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_J(*\Theta) \xrightarrow{d} d\big(\mathcal{O}_J(*\Theta)\big) \longrightarrow 0, \qquad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{O}_J(*\Theta)$ is the sheaf of meromorphic functions with poles only on Θ and d is the holomorphic exterior differentiation map. Since $H^k(J, \mathcal{O}_J(*\Theta)) = 0$ for $k > 0$, we have

$$H^1(J, \mathbf{C}) \simeq \frac{H^0(J, d\big(\mathcal{O}_J(*\Theta)\big))}{dH^0(J, \mathcal{O}_J(*\Theta))}, \qquad (11)$$

by the long cohomology exact sequence of (10).

Write J as

$$J(X) = \mathbf{C}^g / \mathbf{Z}^g + \Omega \mathbf{Z}^g,$$

where Ω is a point on the Siegel upper half space, that is, Ω is a $g \times g$ matrix with positive definite imaginary part. We denote the coordinate system of $\mathbf{C}^g$ by $(z_1, \cdots, z_g)$. Let $\theta(z)$ be Riemann's theta function

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \exp(\pi i\, {}^t n \Omega n + 2\pi i\, {}^t n z).$$

The divisor Θ is, by definition, the zero set of $\theta(z)$. Define $\zeta_i(z)$ by

$$\zeta_i(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \log \theta(z).$$

It is obvious that

$$dz_i, \quad d\zeta_i \in H^0(J, d\big(\mathcal{O}_J(*\Theta)\big)).$$

The following proposition is easily proved by calculating periods.

Proposition 2 *The forms* dz_i, $d\zeta_j$, $(1 \le i, j \le g)$ *form a basis of* $H^1(J, \mathbf{C})$.

This proposition is stated for hyperelliptic Jacobian in [11]. But it is true for any principally polarized abelian variety (J, Θ) such that Θ is irreducible.

It is known that $H^k(J, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to the k-th exterior products of $H^1(J, \mathbf{C})$,

$$H^k(J, \mathbf{C}) \simeq \wedge^k H^1(J, \mathbf{C}).$$

We set

$$\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{g}dz_i\wedge d\zeta_i\in\wedge^2H^1(J,\mathbf{C}).$$

This form is related with the fundamental class $[\Theta]$ of Θ in $H^2(J,\mathbf{C})$ ([5], Ch.0, §4) by

$$[\Theta]=\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\omega.$$

Proposition 3 *$\omega\wedge H^{k-2}(J,\mathbf{C})\subset\operatorname{Ker}\iota_k,\quad 2\le k\le g.$*

Proof. Let $\Omega^k(*\Theta)$ be the sheaf of meromorphic k-forms on J which have poles only on Θ. By the algebraic de Rham theorem (*cf.* [5])

$$H^k(J-\Theta,\mathbf{C})\simeq\frac{\operatorname{Ker}\bigl(H^0(J,\Omega^k(*\Theta))\longrightarrow H^0(J,\Omega^{k+1}(*\Theta))\bigr)}{dH^0(J,\Omega^{k-1}(*\Theta))}.$$

In terms of this description of $H^k(J-\Theta,\mathbf{C})$ and the description (11) of $H^1(J,\mathbf{C})$, the map ι_k has a simple meaning.

We set $dz_I=dz_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{i_k}$ and $l(I)=k$ for $I=(i_1,\cdots,i_k)$ etc. Then for $dz_{I_1}\wedge d\zeta_{I_2}\in H^k(J,\mathbf{C})$, which means $l(I_1)+l(I_2)=k$ in particular, the image $\iota_k(dz_{I_1}\wedge d\zeta_{I_2})$ is nothing but the meromorphic differential form on J obtained as the exterior products of the meromorphic one forms dz_{i_1}, ..., $d\zeta_{j_r}$, where $I_1=(i_1,\cdots,i_k)$, $I_2=(j_1,\cdots,j_r)$. Since

$$\omega=\sum_{i,j=1}^{g}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i\partial z_j}\log\theta(z)dz_i\wedge dz_j=0$$

as a meromorphic differential form on J, the proposition is proved. □

Since Θ is irreducible,

$$H_{2g-2}(\Theta,\mathbf{C})=\mathbf{C}\mu_\Theta,\tag{12}$$

where μ_Θ is the fundamental class of Θ ([8], Ch V, Theorem 3.4). It follows from (9), (12) and Proposition 3 that the map

$$H_{2g-2}(\Theta,\mathbf{C})\longrightarrow H^2(J,\mathbf{C})$$

is injective and

$$H^1(J,\mathbf{C})\simeq H^1(J-\Theta,\mathbf{C}).$$

This isomorphism is proved in [11] in another way.

Corollary 3 $\dim(\mathrm{Ker}\iota_k) \geq \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k-2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq g.$

Proof. Since the map

$$\omega\wedge : \wedge^k H^1(J, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \wedge^{k+2} H^1(J, \mathbf{C})$$

is injective for $0 \leq k \leq g-1$ by the hard Lefschetz theorem, we have the assertion of the corollary. □

Corollary 4 *If*

$$\dim\, H_k(\Theta) \leq \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k+2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

for some $g \leq k \leq 2g-2$, the map $H_k(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^{2g-k}(J, \mathbf{C})$ is injective and the equality holds in (13).

Corollary 5 *If*

$$\dim\, H_k(\Theta) \leq \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k+2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

for all $g \leq k \leq 2g-2$, then we have the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \longrightarrow H_{2g-l}(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^l(J, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^l(J-\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad l \leq g-1, \\ &0 \longrightarrow H_g(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^g(J, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^g(J-\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow H_{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow H^{g+1}(J, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 *Let X be a hyperelliptic curve and $(J(X), \Theta)$ the Jacobian variety of X and its theta divisor. Then*

$$\dim\, H_k(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k \end{pmatrix}, & 0 \leq k \leq g-2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k+2 \end{pmatrix}, & g-1 \leq k \leq 2g-2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $0 \leq k \leq g-2$ the claim is already established by (8). To prove the remaining part we use the following result of [2] (Proposition 3.2.1 (2)).

Theorem 4 *([2]) If X is hyperelliptic*

$$H^k(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) \simeq IH^k(\Theta),$$

where $IH^k(\Theta)$ is the intersection cohomology group of Θ with middle perversity ([7]).

Since the Poincaré duality holds for the intersection cohomology groups ([6, 7]), so is for the singular cohomology groups of Θ if X is hyperelliptic. Thus

$$\dim H^k(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) = \dim H^{2g-2-k}(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k+2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g \leq k \leq 2g-2.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^{g-1}(\Theta, \mathbf{C}) &= (-1)^{g-1}\big(\chi(\Theta) - \sum_{k \neq g-1} (-1)^k \dim H^k(\Theta, \mathbf{C})\big) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ g+1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 3 is proved. □

Corollary 6 *If X is hyperelliptic,*

$$H^k(J(X) - \Theta, \mathbf{C}) \simeq \frac{\wedge^k H^1(J(X), \mathbf{C})}{\omega \wedge^{k-2} H^1(J(X), \mathbf{C})}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq g. \tag{15}$$

In particular

$$\dim H^k(J(X) - \Theta, \mathbf{C}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2g \\ k-2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With the intersection form, $H^1(J(X), \mathbf{C})$ is a symplectic vector space of dimension $2g$. It is isomorphic to the first fundamental representation of the symplectic group $Sp(2g, \mathbf{C})$. Then it is well known that the right hand side of (15) is isomorphic to the k-th fundamental representation of $Sp(2g, \mathbf{C})$ (see [4] for example).

Corollary 6 is one of conjectures in [11].

References

[1] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, P., Griffiths, P. A., and Harris, J., *Geometry of Algebraic Curves, Volume I*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1984).

[2] Bressler, P. and Brylinski, J-L., On the singularities of theta divisors on Jacobians, J. Algebraic geometry, **7** (1998) 781-796.

[3] Fulton, W., *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Princeton University Press, (1993).

[4] Fulton, W. and Harris, J., *Representation Theory*, Springer, New York, (1991).

[5] Griffiths, P. and Harris, J., *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, A Wiley-Interscience publication, (1978).

[6] Goresky, M. and MacPherson, R., Intersection homology theory, Topology, **19** (1980) 135-162.

[7] Goresky, M. and MacPherson, R., Intersection homology II, Invent. math., **71** (1983) 77-129.

[8] Iversen, B., *Cohomology of sheaves*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, (1986).

[9] Macdonald, I.G., Symmetric products of an algebraic curve, Topology, **1** (1962) 319-342.

[10] Mumford, D., *Curves and their Jacobians*, Univ. of Mich. Press, (1976).

[11] Nakayashiki, A. and Smirnov, F., Cohomologies of affine Jacobi varieties and integrable systems, math-ph/0001017, Kyushu Univ. preprint series in math. 2000-2,